

Written Questions From The Public (February 2021)

Question Set 1: Mr D Boden, by email sent 26.01.2021

Email produced in full in its original form in Appendix A1 and points summarised here.

Dear Mr Ball and Parish Clerk,

Thank you for your email.

A couple of questions/ points to clarify...

1) signs could be attached to fence or current entrance to dog field. Still seeing lots of visitors and antisocial Parking in and around the village.

2) As a villager I have the right to object as have others. I dont expect a response to include " other villagers have requested" what needs to happen is a full options appraisal, Including do nothing, needs to be produced for voting by the village of Bradenstoke relating to parking by dog field amongst other things.

On reflection, cars would not be able to turn around as lane so narrow, and would need to turn at top of village. In my opinion, not sensible or safe and I'm sure those residents don't want cars turning in their drives.

Sensible solution, would be to create a larger dog field in Lyneham, as it has the infrastructure and space in place already, including tarmaced roads, to support far more parking than Bradenstoke is equipped for, and to close/restrict access from the lane /entrance to Bungalow Park up to the Abbey to all traffic, other than for access to those who live at the top of the village. Far safer for all who use it, as it gets very busy, especially currently with walkers, cyclists and elderly residents.

There are gaps/holes around the base of the fence, you would need to look. Fence needs to be patched or replaced including fully fencing playing field.

The sign on the gate that was placed there by Bradenstoke and Lyneham PC, as identified on the actual sign, identifies that the dog field and playing field were left to the villages of Bradenstoke and Lyneham Parish use only.

However, your response indicates its not. Please could you clarify who's correct, the sign on the gate or yourself? Please could we have evidence to back this up?

I have spoken to a few villagers who are also under the impression that dog and playing field are not for universal use and have lived here for many years.

Thank you for providing some assurance that some movement has taken place on some points raised, but not to a point of resolution or full conclusion and we are currently at about 7 to 8 months in of asking the same questions/points.

I'd be more that happy to discuss with Parish Council representatives for Bradenstoke as to support a more comprehensive development/action plan for the village.

Yours Sincerely

Mr Daniel Boden